

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
Nashville DIVISION

Lorraine Flint, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

Feit Electric Company, Inc.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 3:24-cv-00324

Judge Eli J. Richardson  
Magistrate Judge Alistair E. Newbern

---

**JOINT PROPOSED INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

Pursuant to this Court's Local Rule 16.01, counsel for all parties have met and conferred to discuss the matters addressed in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f). Agreements reached by counsel or the parties' differing positions are memorialized in this joint proposed initial case management order, which reflects the case management preferences of Magistrate Judge Newbern and the presiding District Judge.

A calendar date shall be proposed for each deadline.

**A. JURISDICTION:** The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to **28 U.S.C §1332** (complete diversity) and was removed pursuant to the provisions of **28 U.S.C. §1441**.

**B. BRIEF THEORIES OF THE PARTIES:** *These statements shall briefly summarize the parties' positions and shall not be a recitation of the pleadings or argument of the claims.*

**PLAINTIFF:**

Defendant negligently designed and manufactured a cord/string of lights that caused the Plaintiff's injury and their residence to be destroyed.

**DEFENDANT:**

As stated in its Answer, Defendant denies that it was the manufacturer or designer of the string of lights which allegedly caused the fire and/or the damages that Plaintiffs are asserting. Defendant denies all allegations of negligence and asserts that the string lights that it distributed were neither defective nor unreasonably dangerous at the time they left Defendant's possession and control. Defendant further avers that the actions or inactions of the Plaintiffs were the sole proximate cause of any and all alleged damages incurred.

- C. **ISSUES RESOLVED:** *State all agreements reached by counsel and not otherwise addressed by this Order, including agreements as to jurisdiction, venue, and other matters as appropriate.*

Jurisdiction and Venue

- D. **ISSUES STILL IN DISPUTE:** *Briefly summarize all issues still in dispute including, for example, liability and damages.*

Liability; Damages; Causation; reasonableness and necessity of medical or psychological expenses incurred.

- E. **INITIAL DISCLOSURES:**

The parties shall exchange initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) on or before **June 11, 2024**.

- F. **CASE RESOLUTION PLAN AND JOINT STATUS REPORTS:**

**Judge Richardson:** The parties are encouraged to consider the Alternative Dispute Resolution options provided in Local Rules 16.02 through 16.05. If the parties do not propose a detailed plan for resolution of the case in their proposed initial case management order, the Court will establish case resolution plan requirements. Approximately fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of fact discovery, or by **February 14, 2025**, the parties shall submit a joint report confirming that the parties made a good faith attempt to resolve the case. The joint report shall also state whether the parties believe ADR might assist in resolution of the case. If a judicial

settlement conference is requested in either joint report or separately, the parties shall also state (i) the reasons why mediation is not feasible; (ii) their proposed timing for scheduling of the settlement conference; and (iii) any preference of a particular Magistrate Judge to conduct the settlement conference.

***Magistrate Judge Newbern:*** The parties may request referral to a member of the Court's ADR Panel for pro bono mediation by filing a motion that states why private mediation is not feasible and why referral to a mediator will materially advance the resolution of the case.

**G. DISCOVERY:**

The parties shall complete all written discovery and depose all fact witnesses on or before **March 15, 2025**. Written discovery shall proceed promptly (unless otherwise provided for herein) and shall be served no later than **October 1, 2024**. Discovery is not stayed during dispositive or other motions, unless ordered by the Court.

***Judge Richardson:*** A party may not bring a discovery dispute to the Court for resolution before lead counsel for that party has held a telephonic or in-person discussion with lead counsel for every one of the parties adverse to it with respect to the dispute (which, in the case of multiple adverse parties, may occur separately with different adverse parties) and has made a good-faith effort to resolve the dispute.

***Magistrate Judge Newbern:*** If the parties are unable to resolve a discovery dispute after conferring in good faith as required by the Court's Local Rule 37.01 and the District Judge's case management preferences, counsel shall file a motion for resolution of a discovery dispute to request a discovery dispute telephone conference. Not later than two days before the conference, the parties shall file a joint statement of the discovery dispute that states the particular requests or responses at issue, summarizes counsel's good-faith discussions, and briefly addresses the parties' positions. Each party's position statement shall be limited to no more than three pages per issue.

The parties may attach documents for review that will be useful to the Court's understanding of the dispute. If the parties are unable to reach a resolution of the dispute after the conference, the Magistrate Judge will set a schedule for discovery motion briefing. If a party files a discovery motion before a discovery dispute conference has been held, the motion will likely be terminated.

All motions related to fact discovery shall be filed by no later than **December 31, 2024**.

**H. MOTIONS TO AMEND OR TO ADD PARTIES:**

Any motions to amend or to add parties shall be filed no later than **October 31, 2024**.

Any motion to amend must be accompanied by the proposed amended pleading, which shall be included as an exhibit to the motion. Before filing the motion to amend, counsel for the moving party shall discuss the proposed amendment with all other counsel and shall state in the motion to amend whether the motion is opposed. Any motion to amend must comply with Local Rules 7.01 and 15.01.

**I. DISCLOSURE AND DEPOSITIONS OF EXPERT WITNESSES:**

The plaintiff(s) shall identify and disclose all expert witnesses and expert reports on or before **June 1, 2025**. The defendant(s) shall identify and disclose all expert witnesses and expert reports on or before **July 15, 2025**. All expert witnesses shall be deposed on or before **September 30, 2025**.

*Judge Richardson:* Rebuttal experts shall be permitted only by leave of court. Unless otherwise provided for in a separate pretrial order, supplemental expert disclosures, which specifically include, but are not limited to, any supplemental information to expert reports, must be made in accordance with Rule 26(a) and (e). Supplemental expert opinions or other expert disclosures not timely disclosed may be excluded at trial. *See* Local Rule 39.01(c)(5.C).

**J. NEXT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE:**

The parties may request a case management conference by filing a motion that identifies all issues to be discussed and the parties' positions. If the parties request extensions of case

management deadlines, they shall include all proposed extended deadlines in the motion. The parties shall state whether they request an in-person or telephonic conference.

**K. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS:**

**Judge Richardson:** As provided above, the parties must attempt to resolve the case prior to the filing of dispositive motions. Dispositive motions shall be filed by no later than **November 15, 2025**. Responses to dispositive motions shall be filed **within 28 days** after the filing of the motion. Briefs or memoranda of law in support of or in opposition to a dispositive motion shall not exceed 25 pages. Optional replies may be filed **within 14 days** after the filing of the response and shall not exceed 5 pages. No motion for partial summary judgment shall be filed except by permission of the Court. Any party wishing to file such a motion shall first file a separate motion that gives the justification for filing a partial summary judgment motion in terms of overall economy of time and expense for the parties, counsel, and the Court. All attorneys are required to read Judge Richardson's opinion in *McLemore v. Gumucio*, 619 F. Supp. 3d 816 (M.D. Tenn. 2021), regarding what should (or should not) be included in the summary judgment movant's "statement of undisputed material facts," and any parties moving for summary judgment or responding to a motion for summary judgment are expected to follow Judge Richardson's guidance as detailed in the *McLemore* opinion.

**L. ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY:**

The parties shall discuss any anticipated electronic discovery before the initial case management conference. If the parties reach an agreement on how to conduct electronic discovery in this case, Administrative Order 174-1 need not apply. Any agreement among the parties to address electronic discovery shall be reduced to writing, signed by counsel, and filed as a stipulation of agreed-upon electronic discovery procedures. If the parties request the Court's

approval of their agreement, they shall file it as a proposed agreed order with the appropriate accompanying motion. In the absence of an agreement, Administrative Order 174-1 will apply.

**M. MODIFICATION OF THE CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER:**

*Judge Richardson:* Any motion to modify the case management order or any case management deadline shall be filed at least seven (7) days in advance of the earliest impacted deadline. Unless a joint motion, the motion for modification must include a statement confirming that counsel for the moving party has discussed the requested modification or extension with opposing counsel and whether or not there is any objection to the requested modification or extension. The motion for modification must also include: (i) the trial date and all deadlines, even unaffected deadlines, so that it will not be necessary for the Court to review one or more previous case management orders in consideration of the motion and (ii) a statement that the requested extension will still conform to the requirements of Local Rule 16.01(h)(1) that no dispositive motion deadline, including response and reply briefs, shall be later than 90 days in advance of the trial date. Motions for extensions should also detail the moving party's efforts at diligently complying with the originally schedule deadline and the facts demonstrating good cause for modification of the deadline as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).

**N. REQUESTS TO SEAL DOCUMENTS:**

Any party requesting that documents or portions of documents be sealed, including without limitation for use as exhibits at trial, must file a motion to seal in accordance with Section 5.07 of Administrative Order No. 167 (Administrative Practices and Procedures for Electronic Case Filing) and Local Rule 7.01, which demonstrates compelling reasons to seal the documents and that the sealing is narrowly tailored to those reasons. The motion to seal, **even if unopposed**, must specifically analyze in detail, document by document, the propriety of secrecy, providing factual support and legal citations. Generally, only trade secrets, information covered by a recognized

privilege (such as the attorney-client privilege), and information required by statute to be maintained in confidence is typically enough to overcome the presumption of public access. Failure to comply with these procedures or to provide sufficiently compelling reasons may result in denial of the request to seal documents or portions of documents. Protective orders should not provide that documents produced in discovery and designated as “confidential” will be automatically sealed upon filing or if used at trial. Any such language in proposed protective orders will be stricken and may result in denial of the motion for entry of the proposed protective order.

**O. ESTIMATED TRIAL TIME AND TARGET TRIAL DATE:**

The jury trial of this action is expected to last approximately 3-4 days. A trial date no earlier than **February 15, 2026** is requested. The requested trial date and the dispositive motion deadline proposed in this Order conform to Local Rule 16.01(h)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

---

ALISTAIR E. NEWBERN  
United States Magistrate Judge

Approved for Entry:

s/Jason Dollard

Jason Dollard, Esq. (BPR # 036450)

Allen Gressett, Esq. (BPR # 29187)

Schwed, Adams & McGinley, P.A.

88 Union Center

88 Union Avenue, Suite 1100

Memphis, TN 38103

[JDollard@schwedlawfirm.com](mailto:JDollard@schwedlawfirm.com)

[agressett@schwedlawfirm.com](mailto:agressett@schwedlawfirm.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

s/C. Douglas Dooley

C. Douglas Dooley, Esq. (BPR# 012962)

Laura Bassett, Esq. (BPR#035936)

Leitner, Williams, Dooley & Napolitan, PLLC

200 W. ML King Blvd., Suite 500

Chattanooga, TN 37402

[Doug.dooley@leitnerfirm.com](mailto:Doug.dooley@leitnerfirm.com)

[Laura.bassett@leitnerfirm.com](mailto:Laura.bassett@leitnerfirm.com)

Attorneys for Feit Electric